# Single Plan for Student Achievement

# Part II: The Single Plan for Student Achievement Template



A Resource for the School Site Council

Prepared by: California Department of Education, February 2014

# Part II: The Single Plan for Student Achievement Template

School: Menlo Atherton High School

District: Sequoia Union High School

County-District School (CDS) Code: 050170

Principal: Simone Rick-Kennel

Date of this revision: March 20<sup>th</sup>, 2018

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California *Education Code* sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Karl Losekoot

Position: Instructional Vice Principal

Telephone Number: 650 322 5311 ext. 50112

Address: 555 Middlefield Rd

E-mail Address: klosekoot@seq.org

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on 05/14/18.



### **Table of Contents**

### II. Template for the Single Plan for Student Achievement

Form A: Planned Improvements in Student Performance: evidence of needs, goals, and strategies.	1
Form B: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance	5
Form C: Programs Included in This Plan	6
Form D: School Site Council Membership	9
Form E: Recommendations and Assurances	10

### Form A: Planned Improvements in Student Performance

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index and adequate yearly progress growth targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

#### LEA GOAL:

Goal 2: Access to a rich, well-rounded curriculum that is aligned to Common Core State standards (CCSS), Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS), and ELD Standards, and will make measureable progress in mastering grade level CCSS.

Goal 4: Improve overall the learning outcomes of English Learners, specifically those identified as Long Term English Learners (LTEL) or at risk of becoming LTEL.

Goal 5: Access to and be encouraged to enroll in a rigorous course of study, enabling them to graduate prepared for college and/or career as measured by A-G and/or CTE pathway completion.

SCHOOL GOAL: Increase the percentage of students who successfully graduate from Menlo-Atherton High School in four years plus summer. Within this goal, we will continue to increase the A-G eligibility rates for all, with a focus on our significant subgroups.

#### What data did you use to form this goal?

### What were the findings from the analysis of this data?

improve for the entire M-A student body as well as for Hispanic/ Latino students, which speaks to

the instructional work of M-A's staff. There is still clearly more work to be done around supporting

more Hispanic students, including long term

English Learners, in completing A through G

requirements.

A through G completion rates continue to

### of this goal?

How will the school evaluate the progress

A through G and Graduation rate Trends:

Graduation Data from year to year

### A through G completion rates

Graduation Data Irom year to ye

### Overall A-G rates for Graduating Class:

A-through G Eligibility rates

2013: 60% 2014: 63.6% Rate of Ds and Fs in graduation and A -G classes

2015: 63.9% 2016: 67.6% 2017: 66.9% In 2017, the gap between white students who had an A through G completion rate of 85.7% compared to the 49.2% of Hispanic students is still too large. The gap suggests more targeted work is still needed to engage Hispanic Youth,

Where can a budget plan of the proposed expenditures for this goal be found?

A-G rates for Hispanic Students:  2013: 34.8% 2014: 42.9% 2015: 34.8% 2016: 44.2% 2017: 49.2%	support academic success, and monitor progress.  How do we raise these rates by a reasonable 2 to 3 % next year?	
Overall Graduation Rate Data:		
2015: 89.2% 2016: 91.9% 2017: 90.1%		
(From California Dashboard)		
Graduation Rate for Hispanic students:		
2015: 81.7% 2016: 84.9% 2017: 81.7% (From California Dashboard)		

STRATEGY: Create Yearly Data reports that look at students who did not meet A through G status and explain why, starting with a look at the 2016-17 graduating class.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
-------------	-----------------------	-----------	---

Create A through G Data Report	IVP and Guidance Department	<ul> <li>Spring 2018 and spring 2019, when data from previous year becomes available.</li> </ul>	District provided funding for IVP and Guidance staff.

### STRATEGY:

During the 2018-19 we will continue implementing guidance outreach and curriculum around graduation and A through G requirements

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Guidance Department Outreach:  Guidance Office has designed grade Level appropriate lessons around the use of Naviance, College and Career Goals and Skills, as well as A-G awareness.	Guidance Department	<ul> <li>2018-19 academic year: Guidance will conduct grade level meetings throughout the year for each grade level.</li> <li>3 9<sup>th</sup> grade class visits, 2, sophomore, 2 junior, and 2 senior class visits and presentations about Graduation requirements and A through G requirements.</li> </ul>	District funding for Guidance staff.
Review guidance curriculum	Head Guidance Counselor	<ul> <li>2018-19 academic year.</li> <li>Guidance department will review curriculum for classroom presentations afterwards and update as needed.</li> </ul>	During Cycle of Inquiry time built into contractual workday.

STRATEGY: Continue providing professional development in the form of instructional practice, assessment and reflection, as well as collaboration around curriculum, instruction, and assessment.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Grade and student work analysis:  Continue mid-year review of Semester grades and grade breakdowns by subject matter and course.  End of Quarter Review of Student work by subject area teams.  Yearly PD offered around grading practices, grade book organization, and the power of zero	Principal, IVP, Department Chairs	<ul> <li>In January/ February of each year Departments will analyze grade data in their departments.</li> <li>In Sept/ October we will begin looking at grade data from the second semester of the year before.</li> <li>Include in grade distribution reports, a focus on courses where students are earning a high percentage of D grades.</li> <li>November Minimum day, departments will review specific examples of student work, determine next steps for their subject matter and areas of focus for departments.</li> <li>During minimum days in November and March, or PD days in January, staff will present PD around the impact of a zero in a grade book to teachers who have not attended this PD in prior years.</li> </ul>	Work occurs during cycle of inquiry time built into the contractual workday, as well as through PD days build into the district calendar.
Updated Cycle of Inquiry process meant to build in more collaboration time, the development of short term instructional and/ or curriculum focused goals, review of student work, and reflection.	IVP and Department Chairs SDMC	<ul> <li>School year: 2018-19</li> <li>IVP and Department Chairs</li> <li>In May of 2018, establish the school PD calendar and the cycle of inquiry meeting schedule for the 2018-19 school year</li> <li>Teams meet at least twice a month and departments have option to increase to three times a month.</li> <li>In August, 2018, establish goal for each cycle of inquiry group to develop one of a</li> </ul>	Cycle of inquiry time is built into the contractual workday.

		<ul> <li>few options: common assessments, consistent grading policies and practices, common units, common discussion topics and structure</li> <li>Teams will meet and document work throughout the year.</li> <li>Cycle of Inquiry work is enhanced by opportunities for teachers to continue collaborating on projects outside of the work day</li> </ul>	
EL Achieve Professional development offered by the district.  Increase the number of staff members who participate and implement these strategies	District Office and Core Content Teachers	<ul> <li>Admin will identify 20 teachers to participate in the district sponsored EL Achieve training in the 2018-19 school year, emphasizing that teachers teaching LEP courses or English Support courses need to attend.</li> </ul>	
Institute Instructional Rounds practice and participation by each core department.  Focusing on Depth of Knowledge	Principal, IVP, and Department Chairs.	<ul> <li>Fall and Spring Semesters</li> <li>Principal and IVP will work with department chairs to identify teachers and courses to include in the instructional round process.</li> <li>Facilitate subs, pre-brief, debrief and a department discussion around instructional or curriculum goals and next steps.</li> </ul>	Both Site Discretionary and Foundation for the Future funds.
Department Goals: Each department will identify one instructional or curriculum goal to work on over the course of the year.	Principal, IVP, and Department Chairs	<ul> <li>Spring 2018 and school year 2018-19</li> <li>Department Chairs: After analyzing student work in departments along with observational data collected from Instructional round process, department chairs will identify a department goal to work on during the 2018-19 school year.</li> </ul>	District provides release periods for each core department chair plus a stipend for those who do not receive a release period.

Develop Homework Best Practices for each department	Department Chairs, Principal, IVP	<ul> <li>2018-19 School year</li> <li>IVPs and Department Chairs will facilitate a discussion and reflection of homework practices, expectations, and philosophy in each department, with the goal of developing reasonable guidelines for each teacher.</li> </ul>	Facilitated discussions will occur in Cycle of Inquiry meeting time built into the contractual workday, as well as during PD days built into district calendar.

STRATEGY: Continue offering instructional support for students in the form of support classes, academic coaches, a paraprofessional support in the classroom.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
SAAP Program	SAAP Coordinator	<ul> <li>2018-19 academic year. SAAP program coordinator will identify 60 highest need students in the 9<sup>th</sup> grade and continue</li> </ul>	District provides 1.0 staffing for position.
		supporting continuing SAAP students into the 10 <sup>th</sup> grade.	Summer program funded with Title I money: \$24,500
		<ul> <li>Coordinator provides one-on-one support, mentoring opportunities, behavior intervention and coaching, push in support to classrooms in need, and pull out support for individual students.</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>SAAP coordinator runs summer program for identified students, helping them get acquainted with M-A culture, supports, and</li> </ul>	

		expectations.	
Literacy compart class.	Litaraniana	0040 40 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	District provides compart for
Literacy support class:	Literacy support teacher: Lara Gill	<ul> <li>2018-18 Academic Year</li> <li>One class section funded by the district to support students coming out of English Intervention classes, for students scoring below a 600 on the Lexile test, and students exiting ELD 3.</li> <li>Students are placed in the same English and US History course so Literacy teacher can coordinate curriculum with support provided in class.</li> </ul>	District provides support for one section
After School Tutoring and Writing Centers:	Principal and AVP office	<ul> <li>2018-19 school year</li> <li>Provide funding for teachers and para professionals to staff the tutoring center, which will be open Monday through Thursday and staffed in the F-wing after school.</li> <li>Writing center will be funded and staffed by English teachers in G-9</li> <li>Funding will also be provided for one teacher to provide push in support to English and Social Studies classrooms for writing support during class time.</li> </ul>	Funded by Foundation for the Future

Para professional support in the classroom	Admin and Foundation for the Future	<ul> <li>2018-19 academic year</li> <li>Site council provides funding through the Foundation for two English and Math Paraprofessionals.</li> <li>Paraprofessionals provide direct support to students in classrooms.</li> </ul>	Funded by Foundation for the Future
Instructional Coaches in English and Math:	District office and Assigned Coaches	<ul> <li>English Coach works with department members to implement two common, common core units at each grade level, and to facilitate the process of review and assessment of these common units</li> <li>Math coach works to support our Algebra program and the implementation of common core curriculum throughout all Algebra classes. Match Coach facilitates common assessments, review of data, and student supports in the form of scaffolding, lesson design, and instructional strategies for teachers.</li> </ul>	District provides funding for one release period per coach

STRATEGY: Expand course offerings as a means of offering more engaging curriculum and increased opportunities for students to meet A through G requirements

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Expanded Course and Program offerings as a means of offering more opportunities for engagement in school and A-G courses.	Department Chairs	<ul> <li>2018-19 school year</li> <li>Continue and improve the curriculum and instructional practice in Blended Biology course, focusing on equity in the classroom.</li> <li>Enroll more AVID and Academy students in AP computer Science.</li> </ul>	District Staffing allocation
Finite Math			

Marine Biology AP Computer Science Speech, Debate and Critical Thinking AP Spanish Literature			
Dynamic Ecology Pilot	Science Teachers	<ul> <li>Continue Dynamic Ecology Pilot for second year, a class which offers students an opportunity to meet "D" lab requirement</li> <li>If successful, look into replacing Adv. Integrated Science, which does not meet "D" lab requirement with Dynamic Ecology in following year.</li> </ul>	District Staffing allocation
Blended Biology	Science Dept. Chair	<ul> <li>Continue and improve the curriculum and instructional practice in Blended Biology course, focusing on equity in the classroom.</li> <li>Use of Cycle of inquiry time to reflect on and develop new practice</li> </ul>	District staffing allocation.  Professional Development occurs during Cycle of Inquiry time, built into contractual work day
Race Ethnicity and Immigration	Social Studies Department Chair	Social studies department will offer this year long elective course meant to provide relevant content to a diverse group of students.	District staffing allocation

CTE pathways in the following areas:	CTE Department Chair	<ul> <li>This year all CTE courses will also meet A through G elective requirements.</li> </ul>	
Arts, Media, & Entertainment Woodworking Architectural Design Engineering Technology Food Service and Hosp. Software and Systems			

### STRATEGY: Use Modified grading where appropriate in order to support students with IEPs.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Continue the use of Modified grading for student with Special needs in order to help students earn passing grades in Graduation required courses.	Special Education Case Managers in conjunction with Core Content Teachers.	Yearlong. Each semester, Case managers will review students on their case load and determine which students will benefit or need modified grading based on assessments and discussions of the IEP team.	District funding for case management of students with IEPs.

STRATEGY: Institute a new Bell schedule, which will include flexible time in the school day for students to seek tutoring help, consult a teacher, or make up a quiz/ test or assignment.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Institute Flex Time into the bell schedule, increasing the opportunities for students to interact with their teachers around content questions, tutoring, and the ability to make up quizzes or tests in a structured format.	Principal and Flex Time Committee	2018-19 school year: Staff will adopt a schedule, which includes flex time.	Flex time is built into the contractual workday and Instructional minute calendar.
Flex Time training	Admin	August and September, 2018: Provide training to students on how to use flex time.	Training occurs during Flextime at start of the year.

#### LEA GOAL:

Goal 2: Access to a rich, well-rounded curriculum that is aligned to Common Core State standards (CCSS), Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS), and ELD Standards, and will make measureable progress in mastering grade level CCSS. Actions/Services #3- E.L. Achieve/Constructing Meaning Professional Development (pp. 66)

Goal 4: Improve overall the learning outcomes of English Learners, specifically those identified as Long Term English Learners (LTEL) or at risk of becoming LTEL. Action/Services #1: E.L. Achieve/Systematic ELD Professional Development and EL Task Force (pp. 77)

Goal 5: Access to and be encouraged to enroll in a rigorous course of study, enabling them to graduate prepared for college and/or career as measured by A-G and/or CTE pathway completion.

Goal 6: Student access to and encouragement to enroll in AP/IB courses.

**SCHOOL GOAL:** Increase the performance levels of our Hispanic students as measured by enrollment and success in AP/AS classes and grade point average. Within this goal we will continue to focus on successful 9<sup>th</sup> grade transitions to high school.

#### What data did you use to form this goal?

### **SBAC Data, Hispanic Students:**

ELA, percent Meeting or exceeding standard

:

2014-15: 39% 2015-16: 39% 2016-17: 50.8%

Math, Percent Meeting or Exceeding standard:

2014-15: 13% 2015-16: 20% 2016-17: 15.4%

### What were the findings from the analysis of this data?

We are seeing some growth with our Hispanic sub group. 50.8% of Hispanic students either met or exceeded the standard on the ELA Smarter Balance test. In addition, the percent of Hispanic students who graduated in 2017 and who took at least one AP class grew to 55.6%, which is an increase of more than 8% from the prior year.

9<sup>tth</sup> graders as a whole have shown some growth, with an overall GPA of 3.01, up from 2.85 the year before.

While GPA data for Hispanic students at the 9<sup>th</sup> grade level has increased, GPA data for 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> grade has decreased. As mentioned in goal number one, there is room for more targeted work around supporting Hispanic students in the

How will the school evaluate the progress of this goal?

Where can a budget plan of the proposed expenditures for this goal be found?

### EL Data classroom, through community building, better engagement strategies, more academic support, and better monitoring. Percent of Hispanic graduates taking at least one AP class: 2013: 31.1% 2014: 35.6% 2015: 40.9% 2016: 47.1% 2017: 55.6% Hispanic student GPA and Credit Data from District Dashboard: 9<sup>th</sup> Grade GPA and Credits earned: 14-15: 2.34 61.5% 15-16: 2.15 61.2% 16-17: 2.50 66.7% 10th Grade GPA and Credits Earned: 2.51 65.8% 14-15: 15-16: 2.30 53.6% 16-17: 2.22 54.5% 11<sup>th</sup> Grade GPA and Credits Earned: 14-15: 2.59 75.5% 15-16: 2.60 72.5%

### STRATEGY: Continue articulation efforts with middle schools that feed into M-A

16-17: 2.44 57.7%

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Continue feeder school articulation with Ravenswood and Menlo Park schools.	District Office, Department Chairs, Site Admin	<ul> <li>2018-19 school year:</li> <li>Continue teacher visits to Ravenswood school district as a means of understanding where our students are coming from.</li> <li>Meet with subject area groups in Ravenswood school district in order to brainstorm common academic language and aligned expectations around one task or standard.</li> <li>Meet with subject area teachers from Hillview and La Entrada in order communicate expectations of M-A courses and discuss alignment of skills from middle to high school courses.</li> </ul>	(Note: Refer to Form F, Budget Planning Tool)
AS English I team articulation	English Department Chair, AS I team, Site Admin.	<ul> <li>2018-19 school year:</li> <li>AS English team will meet and re-articulate expectations, opportunities to re-write, focus on and define the essentials.</li> </ul>	Work done during Wednesday meeting time, built into workday.  Outside Collaboration time funded by Foundation for the Future Professional Development budget:

STRATEGY: Increase the number of teachers participating in the EL Achieve training and those implementing EL achieve strategies in the classroom

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
-------------	-----------------------	-----------	---

EL Achieve Professional development offered by the district.  Increase the number of staff members who participate and implement these strategies	District Office and Core Content Teachers	Admin will identify 20 teachers to participate in the district sponsored EL Achieve training in the 2018-19 school year, emphasizing that teachers teaching LEP courses or English Support courses need to attend.	
Identify teachers and admin for EL Achieve leadership training.	District Office and Site Admin	M-A will have three teachers and one admin trained as EL Achieve Leaders, allowing them to coach other teachers in EL Achieve strategies and provide on site training.	

STRATEGY: Staff ELD and LEP classes at reasonable numbers and leave room for growth as well as mainstream and cluster roughly 16 ELD three students in Biology and US History as opposed to Bio/ History LEP classes.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Continue to staff ELD and LEP classes at reasonable numbers and anticipate growth	IVP	<ul> <li>Spring or 2018 and Fall of 2018:</li> <li>Continue to offer two sections of ELD/ELA I and II as well as enough sections of Science, History, and Math to keep class size reasonable.</li> <li>Coordination of ELD curriculum and support for teachers is provided by BRT</li> </ul>	Allocation of District Staffing BRT is funded in part with \$50,000 of EIA money.

Mainstream and Cluster 8 ELD III students in Biology and 8 ELD III students in US History	IVP, Guidance, and Science Department	<ul> <li>2018-19 School Year:</li> <li>Identify ELD III students who will be taking Biology/ US History and cluster them in mainstream classes, in a group of roughly 8.</li> <li>Spring of 2018: Identify one or two teachers who can support these students</li> <li>If teachers have not gone through EL Achieve Training, make sure they sign up in the Fall.</li> </ul>	Allocation of District Staffing
--	--	--	---------------------------------

### STRATEGY: Create more connections to challenge day throughout the 9<sup>th</sup> grade year.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Create 4 common discussions all 9th graders will engage in, occurring in Social Studies and/ or English classrooms. The goal is	Admin/ Department Chairs	Spring of 2018: Identify teachers and communicate the goal. Identify the discussion strategy to be used: Socratic or Talking circles, and provide training opportunities over summer and fall of 2018.  Summer 2018: Tanahara callaborate around.	Curriculum Development funded by Foundation for the Future Professional Development Budget:  Curriculum Development
to build student skills in listening, speaking, and having empathy.		<ul> <li>Summer 2018: Teachers collaborate around discussion topics as well as tools and strategies to support conversations.</li> <li>Quarterly, 2018-19 school year: Teachers engage students in conversations once a quarter.</li> </ul>	also done during Cycle of Inquiry team build into contractual workday.
Common conversations will also expand the concepts of Challenge			
Day, so that students are working on empathy			
throughout the year and engaging in some common experiences/			

conversations.		

### STRATEGY: Cycle of Inquiry, collaboration time

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Updated Cycle of Inquiry process meant to build in more collaboration time, the development of short-term instructional and/ or curriculum focused goals, review of student work, and reflection.	Karl Losekoot and Department Chairs	<ul> <li>School year: 2018-19</li> <li>Karl Losekoot and Department Chairs</li> <li>In May of 2018, establish the school PD calendar and the cycle of inquiry meeting schedule for the 2018-19 school year</li> <li>Teams meet at least twice a month and departments have option to increase to three times a month.</li> <li>In August, 2018, establish goal for each cycle of inquiry group to develop one of a few options: common assessments, consistent grading policies and practices, common units, common discussion topics and structure</li> <li>Teams will meet and document work throughout the year.</li> </ul>	Cycle of Inquiry time built into contract work day.

### LEA GOAL:

Goal 2: Access to a rich, well-rounded curriculum that is aligned to Common Core State standards (CCSS), Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS), and ELD Standards, and will make measureable progress in mastering grade level CCSS.

**SCHOOL GOAL:** Increase the performance level of students with disabilities in general education content classes and standardized tests as measured by the percentage of students earning grades of C or higher.

#### What data did you use to form this goal?

### GPA data:

# Percent of students with IEPs earning a passing grade in General Ed classes:

First Semester 2015: 70% First Semester 2016: 79% First Semester 2017: 76%

# Percent of students with IEPs earning a C or higher in General Ed classes:

First Semester 2015: 50% First Semester 2016: 58% First Semester 2017: 62%

GPA and Credit Data from District Dashboard:

#### 9th Grade GPA and Credits earned:

14-15: 2.41 67.3% 15-16: 2.14 62.9% 16-17: 2.40 69.9%

### 10th Grade GPA and Credits Earned:

14-15: 2.22: 61.8%

### What were the findings from the analysis of this data?

Academic progress of our students with IEP's as measured by first semester grades in general education classes is improving. GPA and Credit for students who completed 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> grade years, though, has either only grown slightly or has remained static.

However, performance by 11<sup>th</sup> grade students with IEPs on the smarter balance tests is far below the scores of students without IEPs. Data suggests that content and study skills teachers are doing a good job supporting students in meeting the academic standards of each course and in meeting high school graduation requirements, but that more attention can be paid to supporting students' ability to persevere through difficult tasks, engage in open ended performance tasks, and apply common core skills.

Data from California State Dashboard reports the number of students with IEPs who have suspended at least once at 10.9%, which is significantly higher than the population of students without IEPs. Data again reflects a need to support students not just in the classroom but in social/emotional ways as well.

### How will the school evaluate the progress of this goal?

Grade performance of students with IEPs in General education classes.

Performance of students with IEPs on Smarter Balance Tests

Suspension data from California state dashboard.

Where can a budget plan of the proposed expenditures for this goal be found?

15-16: 2.24: 59.3% 16-17: 2.24 59.3%

### 11th Grade GPA and Credits Earned:

14-15: 2.31 64.5% 15-16: 2.37 64.7% 16-17: 2.37 64.7%

SBAC Data for students with IEPs: (junior students only)

# **English, Percent Met or Exceeded Standard:**

2014-15: 16% 2015-16: 15% 2016-17: 17.2%

# Math, Percent Met or Exceeded Standard:

2014-15: 0% 2015-16: 4% 2016-17: 2.8%

# Suspension Data for students with IEPs:

2014-15: 10.5% 2015-16: 13.6% 2016-17: 10.9%

(Percent of students with an IEP suspended at least once: from California State Dashboard)

STRATEGY: Continue to implement co-teaching support in core academic classes along with Modified grading practicing to support the mainstreaming of all students.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Continue to offer Co- teaching support in core academic classes within Math, English, Science, and Social Studies.	Admin and Department Chairs	<ul> <li>Spring of 2018: Case managers identify students who need Co-Teaching services based on IEP determinations.</li> <li>Spring of 2018: Identify teachers in needed subject areas and possible, positive and productive co teaching relationships to either continue or start new.</li> </ul>	Funding provided by District staffing allocation.
Continue to cluster students in "Internship Program" in core academic classes so that they can receive targeted support and benefit from better collaboration between case manager and teacher	Admin and Special Education Department Chair	<ul> <li>Spring 2018: identify courses and staff where students can be clustered; identify SPED staff to support these students through either co-teaching or push in teacher/ paraprofessional support</li> </ul>	
Continue to use <b>modified grading</b> for students with IEPs in core content classes.	Case managers and core content teachers	2018-19 school year	Funding for Case Management provided by district.

Provide Training to Case Managers on how to support modified grading and when to use.	SPED Department Chair	September/ October of 2018	Training to occur during cycle of inquiry and staff meeting time built into contractual work day.

STRATEGY: Provide professional development for teachers in Trauma Informed Practices as well as Restorative, community building, practices, with the intent of creating more inclusive and welcoming classrooms for all students.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Provide staff wide training on <b>Trauma Informed Practices</b> in the classroom.	Admin	<ul> <li>2018-19 school year: Training will be offered in one or more of PD days in November, January, or March.</li> </ul>	Foundation for the Future Professional Development Budget:
Continue to provide training in Restorative Practices and community building in the classroom.	Admin	<ul> <li>2018-19 school year. Admin will identify and solicit teachers for one of three stages of training: intro to restorative practices, implementation of talking circles, and Harm repair circles.</li> <li>Identify one whole staff meeting to provide school wide training on Restorative philosophy as well as how to hold a restorative conversation.</li> </ul>	Funding provided by Foundation for the Future

STRATEGY: Support small setting so that small setting and extra time accommodations can be supported and easily met.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Hire staff to facilitate small setting testing procedures and proctoring for students with 504s and IEPs.	Admin	<ul> <li>Spring 2018: Identify funding source and staffing for position.</li> <li>Fall 2018: Articulate clear procedures for all staff to follow in order to support small setting testing.</li> </ul>	Site Discretionary Money

#### LEA GOAL:

Goal 1: Continue to hire the most highly qualified teachers for openings in the District, while seeking to increase the number of teachers who reflect demographically the students we serve.

Goal 3: Promote and increase school/District and community connectedness by providing quality site and district wide parent engagement and education opportunities.

Goal 7: Promote positive learning environments that will result in students maintaining positive behavior and engaging in their educational experiences as measured by credit accruement, graduation, and dropout, suspension, and expulsion rates.

Goal 8: Foster youth will have access to academic resources and supports on at least an equal basis as other students, and their educational outcomes will mirror that of the general population.

**SCHOOL GOAL:** Build positive school community as measured by data on panorama survey, suspension data from California state Dashboard, and overall referral data.

#### What data did you use to form this goal?

## Suspension data from California dashboard:

2015-16: 5.1%

(123 students suspended at least once)

2016-17: 4.5%

(115 students suspended at least once)

2017-18, First semester: 3.5%

(88 students suspended through Jan 18<sup>th</sup>)

#### **Total Suspensions:**

2011-12: 311 2012-13: 290

### What were the findings from the analysis of this data?

Overall suspension rates for students suspended only once, continue to drop, though it is possible we will see an uptick in the 2017-18 school year. Total suspensions have also seen a dramatic decrease over the past 6 years.

Interestingly, though, referral data from teaches in the classroom has not dropped as dramatically, which suggests there are still struggles in the classroom with off task behavior.

Areas for growth should target a goal of addressing not just suspensions but total referrals to the office. M-A can work on building stronger community in the classroom and the school as a whole, create stronger engagement, and continue to offer support for students' emotional growth.

### How will the school evaluate the progress of this goal?

School suspension rates, both for students suspended at least once and for total suspension.

Referral data

Data on Panorama survey that relate to engagement and belonging.

Where can a budget plan of the proposed expenditures for this goal be found?

2013-14: 299 2014-15: 207 2015-16: 218

2016-17: 161

Overall Referral Data:

**2014-15**: 2867 total referrals (1393 Ed

code referrals)

**2015-16**: 2496 total referrals (976 Ed

code)

2016-17: 2445 total referrals (1216 Ed

code)

Panorama Survey Data:

Overall Score for Student Engagement: 2.8 out of 5. (low score)

**Question:** When you are not in school, how often do you talk about ideas from your classes:

Overall scores: 2.7 out of 5

Never: 17%

Once in a while: 26% Sometimes: 34% Frequently: 19% Almost Always: 4%

Overall Score for belonging to the school 3.0 out of 5

These conclusions are supported by data from the panorama survey, in which questions around school engagement, belonging, and connections to adults on campus all reflected areas for growth.

**Question:** How connected do you feel to adults at your school? (2.7 out of 5)

Not at all: 13%

Slightly connected: 30%

Somewhat: 36%

Quite Connected: 19% Quite frequently: 3%

**Question:** Overall, how much do you feel like you belong at your school? (3.2 out of

5)

Do not belong at all: 8% Belong a little bit: 16% Belong Somewhat: 34% Belong quite a bit: 31% Completely belong: 11%

STRATEGY: Create time and activities for staff to interact with each other, take a break, and build community.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Feel Good Fridays	Admin	<ul> <li>2018-19 School Year:</li> <li>School will hold a 'Feel Good Friday" two weeks before the end of each quarter, bringing in or organizing community building activities for both students and staff.</li> </ul>	Principal Discretionary/ PTA funding.

STRATEGY: Continue to provide staff training around Restorative, community building practices, strategies that support all students in the classroom (trauma informed practices), and strategies that help build student voice and engagement in the broader M- A community.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Continue to offer more Restorative Practice Training.	Admin	<ul> <li>2018-19 school year:</li> <li>Identify teachers interested in learning more for an introduction training</li> <li>Follow up with teachers who are interested in implementation of talking circles training</li> <li>Provide Harm Repair training to staff who are implementing and working on building community in the classroom.</li> <li>Identify one staff meeting or PD day, where whole staff can be trained in Restorative philosophy and how to hold a "restorative conversation."</li> </ul>	
Form a Restorative Justice Leadership Team	Admin	<ul> <li>Spring of 2018 and Fall 2018: I</li> <li>Identify interested teachers and meet with AVP office to brainstorm ways of increasing/ expanding the use of restorative practices where appropriate.</li> </ul>	Meet during contractual workday.
Create 4 common discussions all 9th graders will engage in, occurring in Social Studies and/ or English classrooms. The goal is to build student skills in listening, speaking, and having empathy.	Admin/ Department Chairs	<ul> <li>Spring of 2018: Identify teachers and communicate the goal. Identify the discussion strategy to be used: Socratic or Talking circles, and provide training opportunities over summer and fall of 2018.</li> <li>Summer 2018: Teachers collaborate around discussion topics as well as tools and strategies to support conversations.</li> <li>Quarterly, 2018-19 school year: Teachers</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development funded by Foundation for the Future Professional Development Budget  Curriculum Development also done during Cycle of Inquiry team build into contractual workday

		engage students in conversations once a quarter	
Provide <b>Trauma</b> Informed Practices Training to all staff	Admin	<ul> <li>Summer of 2018:</li> <li>Identify a trainer who can provide such training.</li> <li>Provide Trauma Informed practices training during Faculty week to teachers interested</li> <li>Fall of 2018, provide training to all staff during whole school Professional Development Day.</li> </ul>	Foundation for the Future Professional Development Budget:

STRATEGY: Institute flex time in the school day, building in opportunities for students to seek tutoring help, consult a teacher, or make up a quiz/ test or assignment.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Institute <b>Flex Time</b> into the bell schedule, increasing the opportunities for students to interact with their teachers around content questions, tutoring, and the ability to make up quizzes or tests in a structured format.	Principal and Flex Time Committee	<ul> <li>Fall of 2018: Provide training lessons for all staff and students</li> <li>2018-19 school year, implement flextime, providing sufficient staffing for supervision and adequate locations for students to attend.</li> </ul>	Flex time is built into the contractual workday and Instructional minute calendar.

# STRATEGY: Staff and continue community outreach through the community liaison position, targeting students who are struggling to attend school.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Continue staffing attendance Community Liaison.	Admin	<ul> <li>2018-19 School Year</li> <li>Community liaison will identify students struggling to attend school, communicate with families through phone calls, home visits, and parent conferences.</li> </ul>	Foundation for the Future

### STRATEGY: Work to hire a staff that is diverse and one that reflects M-A student Body.

Action/Date	Person(s) Responsible	Task/Date	Cost and Funding Source (Itemize for Each Source)
Continue to support the "Developing our own program", hiring former paraprofessionals to be interns and eventually teachers for MA.	Admin	2018-19 school year	
We currently have the following:  • 3 staff members from the "Developing Our Own" program.  • 1 more completing student teaching this year, whom			

we have hired for next year.  In addition, 3 new paraprofessionals have been approved for the developing our own program.			
Continue to interview a diverse set of applicants when possible.	Admin	• 2018-19 school year.	

#### Form C: Programs Included in this Plan

Check the box for each state and federal program in which the school participates. Enter the amounts allocated for each program in which the school participates and, if applicable, check the box indicating that the program's funds are being consolidated as part of operating a schoolwide program (SWP). The plan must describe the activities to be conducted at the school for each of the state and federal programs in which the school participates. The totals on these pages should match the cost estimates in Form A and the school's allocation from the ConApp.

Note: For many of the funding sources listed below, school districts may be exercising Categorical Program Provisions options (flexibility) with information available at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/co/documents/sbx34budgetflex.doc">http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/co/documents/sbx34budgetflex.doc</a>.

	he four following options, please select the one that If none describe your site, check no boxes.:	describes this	s school
<u> </u>	This site operates as a targeted assistance school (1 program (SWP).	「AS), not as a	schoolwide
	This site operates a SWP but does not consolidate it operating a SWP.	s funds as pa	rt of
	This site operates a SWP and consolidates only apples part of operating a SWP.	licable federal	funds as
	This site operates a SWP and consolidates all applic operating a SWP.	able funds as	part of
Stat	e Programs	Allocation	Consolidated in the SWP
	California School Age Families Education (Carryover only) Purpose: Assist expectant and parenting students to succeed in school	\$	
	Economic Impact Aid/State Compensatory Education (EIA-SCE) (Carryover only) Purpose: Help educationally disadvantaged students succeed in the regular program	\$	
	Economic Impact Aid/Limited-English Proficient (EIA- LEP) (Carryover only) Purpose: Develop fluency in English and academic proficiency of English learners	\$50,000	

Revised September 2015

	Professional Development Block Grant (Carryover only) Purpose: Attract, train, and retain classroom personnel to improve student performance in core curriculum areas		\$		
	Quality Education Investment Act (QEIA) Purpose: Funds are available for use in performing various specified measures to improve academic instruction and pupil academic achievement		\$		
	School and Library Improvement Program Block Grant (Carryover only) Purpose: Improve library and other school programs		\$		
	School Safety and Violence Prevention Act (Carryover only) Purpose: Increase school safety		\$		
	Tobacco-Use Prevention Education Purpose: Eliminate tobacco use among students		\$		
	List and Describe Other State or Local Funds (e.g., Career and Technical Education [CTE], etc.)		\$		
Total amount of state categorical funds allocated to this school			\$		
Federal Programs			Allocation	Consolidated in the SWP	
$\boxtimes$	<b>Title I, Part A: Allocation</b> Purpose: To improve basic programs operated by local educational agencies (LEAs)		\$24,500		
	Title I, Part A: Parental Involvement (if applicable under Section 1118[a][3][c] of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act) Purpose: Ensure that parents have information they need to make well-informed choices for their children, more effectively share responsibility with their children's schools, and help schools develop effective and successful academic programs (this is a reservation from the total Title I, Part A allocation).	\$			

	For Program Improvement Schools only: Title I, Part A Program Improvement (PI) Professional Development (10 percent minimum reservation from the Title I, Part A reservation for schools in PI Year 1 and 2)	\$			
	Title II, Part A: Improving Teacher Quality Purpose: Improve and increase the number of highly qualified teachers and principals		\$		
	Title III, Part A: Language Instruction for Limited- English-Proficient (LEP) Students Purpose: Supplement language instruction to help LEP students attain English proficiency and meet academic performance standards		\$	Title III funds may not be consolidated as part of a SWP	
	Title VI, Part B: Rural Education Achievement Program Purpose: Provide flexibility in the use of ESEA funds to eligible LEAs		\$		
	For School Improvement Schools only: School Improvement Grant (SIG) Purpose: to address the needs of schools in improvement, corrective action, and restructuring to improve student achievement		\$		
	Other federal funds (list and describe)		\$		
	Other federal funds (list and describe)		\$		
	Other federal funds (list and describe)		\$		
	Total amount of federal categorical funds alloc	ated to this school	\$74,500		
Total amount of state and federal categorical funds allocated to this school		\$74,500			

Note: Other Title I-supported activities that are not shown on this page may be included in the SPSA Action Plan.

### Form D: School Site Council Membership

California *Education Code* describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

Names of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Student
Simone Rick Kennel					
Laura Duran			$\boxtimes$		
Ammar Safdari					$\boxtimes$
Mattie Love					$\boxtimes$
Elise Kratzer					$\boxtimes$
Andrea Luskin				$\boxtimes$	
Niel Laderman				$\boxtimes$	
Lorena Resendiz				$\boxtimes$	
Cindy Folker				$\boxtimes$	
John Giambruno		$\boxtimes$			
Michele Breen		$\boxtimes$			
Kristen Torres		$\boxtimes$			
Karina Flores		$\boxtimes$			

#### Form E: Recommendations and Assurances

Attested:

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 05/14/18.

Typed name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date	
Typed name of SSC Chairperson	Signature of SSC Chairperson	Date	